G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19D/-02
(Muzaffarnagar)

File No. 29.

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

Month April 1917

SUBJECT.

File relating to the investment of the Temple Chattram funds in loans to private Indians

PREVIOUS REFERENCES

LATER REFERENCES

Note.

Letters nos 1443 and 1444 dated 7th May 1900 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

In the above, the Deputy Commissioner solicits sanction to the institution of two Civil Suits for the recovery of the moneys lent to certain private individuals out of the funds of the temples of Sri Rameswara at Dêvarvinda and Sri Hallanatheswara at Phalguni both situated in Mudgere Taluk.

The facts are as follow:—

I As regards Sri Rameswara temple at Devarvinda — Deputy Commissioner's letter No 1443 dated 7th May 1900 —

In July 1897 when C. Narasimha Rao was Amildar of Mudgere Taluk, a sum of Rs 200

out of contributions levied for the repair of the temple, was lent to one Subrahmanya Bhatta on a bond executed by him under date 26th July 1897 in favor of the temple manager Bhaire Gowda and others*, hypothecating a house and a wet-land of his as security and promising to repay within 6 months, the aforesaid amount with interest at 1% per mensem. This deed has been duly registered.

*: names not specified in the document

The aforesaid Subrahmanya Bhatta having failed to pay up the amount of the loan within the

period of 6 months specified in the deed, a notice was issued to him in April 1898 by the present Amildar B. Chennakesavaiya requiring him to repay the amount of the loan at once and stating that in default, a suit will be instituted against him for the recovery of the amount. Another notice was issued simultaneously to Bhaire Gowda, the person in whose favor the bond stands, requiring him to take immediate steps for the recovery of the money due to the temple by Subrahmanya Bhatta.

On receipt of the notice, Subrahmanya Bhatta paid only Rs24- the interest due for one year; but he has not repaid the principal amount. Yet, though repeatedly called upon to do so.

Bhaire Gowda, in reply to the notice issued to him has deposed before the Sheikdar that he simply collected the contribution paid by the devotees for the repairs of the temple and remitted it to the Taluk Cutcherry, that it was the Amildar (C. Narasinga Rao) who granted the loan of Rs 200- out of the aforesaid sum

to

to Subrahmanya Bhatta and had the deed drawn up in his (Bhaire Gowda's) name and that he had nothing to do in the matter, that the document itself was not in his possession and that therefore he was not responsible for the recovery of the loan in question.

Again, in October 1899 another notice was issued to Bhaire Gowda calling upon him to institute a suit against the debtor, he being the person in whose name the document had been drawn up; but Bhaire Gowda has not shewn any inclination to move in the matter.

7 In these circum-
-stances, the Deputy

Commissioner solicits sanction of Government to the institution of a suit against Subrahmanya Bhatta in the name of the Taluk Amildar as local Mugrai Officer, the cost thereof being met from the temple funds.

If it is found that Subrahmanya Bhatta will be compelled to renew the debt after he is put in charge, & after executing a deed in favour of the temple with proper sureties

3.X.00

II As regards the Sri Kallanatheswara temple at Phalguni. Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 1444 dated 7th May 1900.

Again, in this case, when C. Narasinga Rao was Amildar of Mudgere Taluk, a sum of Rs 500- out of contributions levied for the repairs of the temple was lent to a Coffee planter by name Nanjundiah on a document executed by him in the name of

the temple Vahivatdar Shanbhogue Ramanna under date 11th August 1897 hypothicating certain lands in his holding as security and promising to repay the loan within 8 months with interest at 10% per annum. This document has been duly registered.

The aforesaid Nanjundiah having failed to repay the loan within the stipulated period, a notice was issued to him requiring him to pay up the amount. But he has not done so yet.

The Vahivatdar Ramanna in whose name the document has been drawn up was

also called upon to take steps for the recovery of the loan in question but he has not moved in the matter.

In these circumstances, the Deputy Commissioner solicits sanction of Government to the institution of a suit against the aforesaid Nanjundiah in the name of the Taluk Amildar as Local Nuzrai Officer, the cost thereof being met from the balance of Rs 254-1-7 remaining out of the contributions originally levied from the devotees for the purpose of the temple repairs —

The Amildar Narasinga Rao,

in

in granting the loans
in question out of
Temple funds has acted
without authority
and in contravention
of the order laid down
in Proceedings No. C 35-6
dated 15th March 1895.

R? 7. Dec
5. IX. 00

No 2 Mr Macnaghten
to draft letter
Mr Pirt - Navarro Case
Amelda. Should be
called upon to
explain why he
acted without authority
& disobeyed orders

3. X. 00.

Note continued :-

As directed by the First Councillor (the present Dewan), the Dy Commr was requested to obtain the explanation of C. Narasingu Rao and submit the same for the orders of Government.

As regards the amounts due by Subrammanya Pillai and Ramjundich, the Dy Commr was informed that they might be given an opportunity to peruse their respective documents and adequate and proper security after keeping up the interest due

Govt Gen no 482-3

27th October 1900.

due & up to date.

The Dy Commissioner
has accordingly submitted
the explanation of the
Amildar C. Narasinga Rao.

With his letter
No 200 dated 30th
July 1901.

As regards the amounts
due by Subbramanamma Pahatta
and Nanjundiah, the Dy
Comr states that the heirs
of ~~Sub~~ the former (since
deceased) have paid Rs 100
towards principal with full
interest due on the loan amount
and that they have prayed
for some time to pay up
the balance of Rs 100/-. And
as regards the amount due
~~by~~ from the other debtor
Nanjundiah, the Dy Comr

The Dy Comr
that this may be
granted.

Commr reports that he is
said to have gone to Mangal-
-lore and that the amount
has to be recovered from
him on his return. The Var:
records show that one
Manchiah, younger brother
of the said debtor Ranjun-
-diah, presented a petition
to the Amildar on the 24th
February 1907, ~~to~~ stating that
his brother (Ranjundiah)
had gone west to Udipi, that
he was expected back very
soon and that the amount
of debt would be cleared off
immediately after his
return; and requesting
therefore that

that he might be allowed

time till then.

The Amildar Nara-

-Singa Rao's explanation

dated 14th July 1901, is in

Kannarese, wherein he states :—

i That the records do

not show that he had given

any orders officially regarding

the grant of the loans;

ii That he afforded

facility to the Temple Vahi-

-vatdars Byregowda &

Ramanna, in the collection

of the Contributions

promised by the devotees

but which had remained

uncollected since 1881;

iii That these amounts

were collected through them

iv That the amount was not officially collected nor was it credited to the "Mugpai Funds", nor was it ever credited under the head "Deposit" as contributions

v That the Vahivatdars invested all such moneys in the Savings Bank in their own name

vi That the Vahivatdars themselves drew back such moneys and lent them to private parties;

vii That the Vahivatdars Bapugowda and Ramanna might

might have probably asked
(Auditor's)
his permission to grant
to respectable parties on
the money as a loan
favourable rates of interest & on proper security,
by reason
that the Savings
Bank interest would yield
a very small amount; and
that he (Auditor) might
have granted permission
accordingly, because
the Collection of the
amount was made through
them —

VIII. That he would not
be granted the loan
against orders, if the amount
had been credited to the
"Municipal Funds" or to "Deposits"

" "

(The other details of his explanation will be personally read).

Submitted for orders

Ks

23/8/07

In continuation of the Dy Comm's letter no 220 referred to above, the Dy Comm has, in his letter no 817 dated 30th November 1907 reported that Narajmandayya has executed a fresh hypothecation bond for the properties which he had hypothecated before, undertaking to discharge the debt in full in three

Seven instruments

Submitted.

Ps
14/2/0. Please see the Dewan's orders at paras 7 and 13 above.

It is satisfactory to see that the repayment of both the debts has been ~~satisfactorily~~ duly arranged for. In the case of the debt due by Subramanya Bhatta, one half of the principal has been paid up together with interest, and time is asked for re-payment of the balance. Further time may be allowed as recommended by the Dy Comr, but the Dy Comr should see that the balance is re-paid within a reasonable time, say one year from date of last payment, so that the matter transaction may be finally closed at an early date.

1. In the other case, the debtor has executed a fresh document on kindred security, promising to repay the loan in seven instalments Dft

93

may be asked to see that the
instalments are duly paid.

The explanation submitted
by the Amildar, C Narasinga Rao
(now in the Guntur Taluk)
is not very satisfactory.
He says that he himself ordered
the collection of the promised
contribution from the devotees,
that the Shroffs collected
them, & they probably
asked me (the Amildar)
for permission to lend the
moneys to private parties
at the interest allowed by
the Savings Bank i.e. 2½ p.c.,
and that he probably granted
the permission asked for.
He says further that if the
moneys had been credited
to Muzrai funds, he would
not have permitted the
loan of the amounts to private
parties in contravention of

the orders of Govt contained
in Pro No 35-36 dated
15th March 1895.

It was the duty of the
Guardian, as soon as he heard
of the collection of contributions,
to have at once placed the
amount either in the Treasury
or in the Savings Bank to the
credit of the temple. The Guardian
may be censured for his action,
and this opportunity taken
to report for the information
of all District and Taluk Officers
the observation made in the
Govt Proceedings above referred to,
that "the investment of Temple
"and Chattram funds on loans
"to private individuals is
"not at all desirable."

Submitted to the Dewan.

15/2/02

My dear

Rec
18.2.02
3451

4157 2

yes
Secretary
17.III.02

H.R. 21/3/02

H.C. 21/3/02

1898

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Madura District

To The Secretary to Govt
Mudrai Department

Dated 18th November 1898.

Despatched 18 11

Received

General No. 693
No.

Enclosure No. newspapers

Has the honor to forward
Vernacular Rubkari No. 300
of 18th November 1898 received
from the Mudrai Assistant
Commissioner Madura District
in re-the debt due to the
temple of Yallambalasey
Keshwadevaru in the Madura
Taluk from Krishna-
Bayi residing at Madura
soliciting orders of the
Government for the recovery
of the same.

659 dy 5/12/98

2. Vernacular Records
ending with Kadur —
Amildar's Report No. 17
dy 21/10/98 is herewith
submitted for reference.

B C Rice
for Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

C/P 300

G.O.Ms.No.

రుంబకాది.

కడుంరు డిస్ట్రిక్ట్ వెళ్ళుటకు

అనిస్టెంట్ కమిషనర్ నకు

ఇ శాఖకు 18/8 నా
నవంబరు/1898
చిత్తగించవలెనని

Rao Saheb
Mugeraj Assistant Commissioner
Kadur District

కడుంరు కాల్మొక్కలు చదువుకొనుటకు

ఆ కొత్తపట్నవరంలో పాఠశాలలు

మొదలుపెట్టుటకు కడుంరు కొన్ని చోట్లకి

రువచ్చుచుండుటయు రకరకంరకియు

దక్షిణాంబాయి వెంకటవరుకుల్ 1894 నా

సంవత్సరంలో కారియము 3 రూపాయలకు

2/9—8—0 రూపాయలకు నన్నపరిచి

కరా 0—12—0 యుంకటుడ్డి నిన్నటిదినం

యుంరు పాఠశాలకొరకు

ఈ కాడ్డాన్నే లాకొనుచున్నారు

కడుంరు కొన్నారుట్లారు వడం

ఇది నామనవిచ్చుకొనుట

కొండారెడ్డిగారు-

ಕೋಟ್ಯಾಧಿಪತಿಯಾದ ದೊಡ್ಡಸೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು
ಸಾಲಿಗ್ರಾಮದಿಂದ ಮೂರು ಹುಡುಗರು ಬಂದಿದ್ದರು. ಅವರಲ್ಲಿ
ಕಿರಿಯವನಾದ ಹದಿನಾರು ವರ್ಷದ ಹುಡುಗನಿಗೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ
ಕೆಲಸವೇನೂ ಇರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವನು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ
ಬಂದು ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದ. ಅವನು
ಸಣ್ಣವನಿದ್ದಾಗಲೇ ತಂದೆಯನ್ನು ಕಳೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದನು.
ಅವನ ತಾಯಿ ಅವನನ್ನು ಅತ್ಯಂತ ಪ್ರೀತಿಯಿಂದ
ಬೆಳೆಸಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವನು ಕಾಲೇಜಿಗೆ ಹೋಗಿ ಬರಲು
ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಲೆಂದು ಅವನಿಗೆ ಒಂದು ಸೈಕಲ್
ಕೊಡಿಸಿದ್ದಳು. ಅವನು ಸೈಕಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಕುಳಿತು
ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ಅವನ ಕಾಲುಗಳು ಪೆಡಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ
ಹೇಗೆ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಆಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದವೋ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ
ಅವನ ಮನಸ್ಸು ಕೂಡ ಆಡುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅವನು
ಸೈಕಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ಅವನ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಹಲವಾರು ಯೋಚನೆಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಅವನು
ಸೈಕಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ಅವನ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಹಲವಾರು ಯೋಚನೆಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಅವನು
ಸೈಕಲ್ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೋಗುವಾಗ ಅವನ ಮನಸ್ಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ಹಲವಾರು ಯೋಚನೆಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತಿದ್ದವು. ಅವನು

2. [illegible]
[illegible] అసల్ 219-8-0 రూపాయలు
[illegible] 80-13-11
రూపాయలు, [illegible]
[illegible]

3. [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Read:—

Docket no 693 d
18th November 1898
from the Deputy Comr. of
Kadur Distt requesting
orders regarding the
recovery of a debt due
to the temple of Sri
Channa Kesava ~~Swamy~~ Devaru
in Yellambalasey,
Kadur Taluk, from
one Krishna Boyi
residing in Kadur.

———"———

Order thereon no.

No. 659 En VP. file
dt 5th Decr '98

From the papers
submitted with the
above, it is observed
that on the 3d June '94
a loan of Rs 219-13-6
219-8-0 from the funds of the

Sri Chenna Kesava
Devaru temple was
advanced to one Krishna
Boyi on her executing
a Registered Deed
in favor of the Deity
hypothecating certain
immovable properties
to the temple and pro-
-miaing to pay interest monthly
at 0.12.0 per cent per cent
and at Re 1 in default
of such monthly
payment and to
repay the principal
amount within 5 years.
Krishna Boyi having ~~[illegible]~~
failed to pay interest
regularly inspite of repeated
demands, it is proposed
to institute a regular
suit in a competent
court for the recovery
of the amount due.

The

The Govt hereby authorize the institution of the suit in the name of the Dalak Amildar as the local murrai officer representing the interests of the temple which is an institution under mur. management.

2. The Govt observe that the advance of temple funds as loan to private individuals is very objectionable and should be discontinued.

Murrai Secretary

AMD

Deputy Comr. Kadur Dist

Returning Enclosures

No 659
Ex. Pr.
8 5th Dec. 98

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's Office

Dated Chikmagalur 25th January 1900.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No 659 of the 5th December 1898, authorizing the institution of a suit for the recovery of Rs 219-8-- advanced to one Krishna boya of Kadur from the Puduvat funds of Sri Chenna Kesava Devaru temple at Yellambalase in the Kadur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that a sum of Rs 50/- is required to file the suit.

2. There is no money available at the credit of this temple. I, therefore, propose the grant from the management fund of temple of

in the same Taluk
at Sakrepatna, subject to repayment
of the same after the amount is recovered
from the party Concerned.

3. The Vernacular record ending with
the Northern Division Assistant Commissioner's
Yadi No. 513 of the 17th January 1900 is hereunto
sent for reference and favor of return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

MUZRAI

189

From

To The Dy Commissr
Kadur Dt.

Dated 31st January 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 825
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

His No. 1002 of 25th inst
requesting sanction to ad-
vance a sum of Rs 50 to
the Taluk Amildar of
Kadur from the funds of
the Sri Ranganathaswami
temple at Sakrepatna for
expenses in connection
with the suit ~~to be~~ ordered
to be filed against one
Krishna Bai for the
recovery ~~from her~~ of a
sum of Rs 219-8-0 with
interest out of the
funds of the Sri C
Kesava Devasu temple

William Delaney, ~~to the~~
Kadir Taluk.

With reference to the
above has the honor
to request that ~~the~~
a copy of the document
executed by Krishna Bai
in favor of the temple
may be forwarded to
this office for reference by return of post
~~by the first mail post~~

B. J.

A.

Inspr. Jany



1899-1900-

From The Dy. Commissioner
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to The
Government of Mysore.
Muzrai Department

Dated 9th February 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 1062
No.

Enclosure No. One

Forwarding a copy
of the document executed
by Krishna Bayi

Kadur District
Dy. Commissioner's office
Camp Kadur 9th February 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzarai Department

Sir

As required in your docket No 845
of the 31st January 1900, I have the honor
to forward herewith a copy of the
document executed by Krishna Bevji
in favor of the temple of Sri Bheema
Kesva Devaru at yellambelse in the
Kadur Taluk

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

Dy. Commissioner
Kadur District

ಕಟ್ಟಿಗೆ ಗುಡ್ಡಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಜ್ಜಾಳ್ಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಬಂದು ಸಿರಿಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಿ ಕೆಡುಗಾರಂಗಾಲ್ಲು
ರಾಲ್ಲಿಂಬಿಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೇಲ್ಲಿಜೆಲ್ಲಿವರಿಚ್ಚಂದಾರಕ್ಕೆ

ಕೆಡುಗಾರಂಗಾಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ಕೆಡುಗಾರಅರಾವಚಿಲ್ಲಿರಬ್ಬಾಳ್ಳಿದ್ದಿ
ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಂಯುರಕ್ಷಿಲ್ಲಿಕ್ಕಾಂಯುಂಯೂಸೆಚಾಮ್ಮರಾಂಯುರಜೆಂಗಡೆಸಿ
ವಮೂವಣ್ಣಿಂಟಿಯರಕಾಲ್ಲಿವಂಯುಕ್ಷಿಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಲ್ಲಾಂವಮೂಲಶಿಷ್ಟಿಲ್ಲಿವಿನವಾಮ್ಮು
ವಕ್ಕಿ ಸ್ಮಾಚಾಂಲಿಂಯಲುಳಿರಸಿಕೆಲ್ಲಾಟ್ಟಿದಕ್ಕೆ ವಚ್ಚಿ

ಈದಿವಸ ಗನ್ನ, ದಿರಡ್ರಿಸಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಷರಗಳಿಗಾಗಿ ಬಿಡೆಲ್ಲಾವ ಸೆಲ್ಲವರ
ಬ್ಬಿಂಡಿಂಗದಹಲ್ಲಾದೆಲ್ಲಿ ಲಂಯರಡಿಸಾಂರಹಣ್ಣಿಂಬ್ಬಚೆಲ್ಲುರಂಗಾಲಾ
ಲಯಲಂಯುಂಟಿಂಗಾಲ್ಲಿಸಳ್ಳನ್ನು ಸಾಲವಾಸಿ ಕೆಗದುಂಕೆಲ್ಲಿಂಡಿಂಚ್ಚಿಟ್ಟಿ
ಪ್ಪದಳಿ ಕಿಂಗಳ್ಳಾಲಂದಕ್ಕೆ, ಸೋಕಿಡಿರಾಲಯು ಮ್ಮಿಲುಕಾಂಯಲು
ಶ್ರಕಾಂರಳಿಡ್ರಿಮೊಂಳಲಸನ್ನ ಕಿಂಸಳ್ಳಾಸಾಂಚೆಲ್ಲಿಂಡಿಯಂಕ್ಕೆಳಿರಾ
ಕ್ಕೆಲನೆ. ಲ್ಲಾಲ್ಲಾದ ಪ್ರಾವಲು ಹುಟ್ಟಾವನ್ನು ಈಲಾಂಕಾಂಯುಂ ಆದಂವ
ಕ್ಕಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾವಕಿಂಂಡಿ ಈದಕ್ಕೆ ವಚ್ಚಿಲಿವಸೂಲುಲ್ಲಿಂಕಾಂನಿಕ
ಪಾಕ್ಕಿವಿಡಿಂಯುಕ್ಕೆಲನೆ. ಕಿಂಸಳ್ಳಾಂಕ್ಕಷ್ಟೆಂಳಿಡ್ರಿಮೊಂಳಲಸ
ಪಾರಕಿವಾಂಡದೆಂಸ್ಮಾರುವಿವಿಕ್ಷ. ಕ್ಕೆಲಿಲಾಂಕಾಂಯುಂ ಕಿಂಸಳ್ಳಾವಂದಕ್ಕೆ
ಸೆಲ್ಲಾಕಿವಾಂದಕಿ ವಂದುರೂಂಲಿಯಳ್ಳಾಂಕೆಳಿಡ್ರಿ ಸೋಲಿಂಸಿಕೊಂಡು
ಕ್ಕೆಲನೆ. ಸ್ಮಾದನ ಪ್ರಾವಲು ಳಿಡ್ರಿ ಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ನಳಿನ ಪಾಲ್ಲಿಕೆ ಸೆಲ್ಲಾಕ್ಕಿರನನ್ನ
ಚಾಂಳಿ ರುಕೆಡುಗಾರುವಳಿಂಳು ಸಾಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿಕಿಲ್ಲಿಡಿಂವಳ್ಳಿಕ್ಕಿಪ್ಪಾಕ್ಕಿಡುಂಯು
ಟಿರಕ್ಷನಳ್ಳರಯಂ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾಟೋನ ದ್ಯಾಕೆಲುರುವ ಸಯುನಡಿಕಿಲ್ಲಿನಂಳು ಂ
ಗಂಲ್ಲಿನೆ ಚಿಂದುನಂಗಾರೆಲ್ಲಾಂಂಳಿಕ್ಕಸ್ಮಿನೆ ಹೆಂಂಚಿನಮ್ಮೋಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಿ ದುಲಾಂಕೆಲ್ಲಿ
ಲೆದುಸಾಲುವುಳ್ಳಿವಂಕಡಿವಂನೆಶಂದಕೆ ಕ್ಕಾರ್ಕಳ್ಳಿಳಿವಂಸಳ್ಳಿ
ರಿಡಿಸ್ಮೆದು ದಕ್ಕೆಲಿ ಲುಲ್ಲಿರಗಳ್ಳಿ ಸ್ಮಾಕ್ಷ ಕೆಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲಾದಕ್ಕೆ ಳಿಕಿಕ್ಕೆಳ್ಳ
ಬಂದಿಪ್ಪಾರಕ್ಕೆಳಿನನ್ನ ಳಿಪಾಂಳಿ ಕಾರಿಂಳಿರಂನಿವೀಲಿನಕಳಿಂಬೆ.
ಲಿನಕಲ್ಲಿರಂವಕಾಂಯುರವಂನೆವಕ್ಕಿಲಿಂಕಾಕೆ. ಗಕ್ಕಿ ಲುಂಲ್ಲಿರನೆನನ್ನ
ಳಕುಳಿಂಳುರುನಿವೀಲಿನ ಈರಂನ್ಸೆ ಸ್ಮಾರುವಡಿಪೆಗಾವಂಕೆ
ಸಾಲ್ಲಿರಂಟ್ಟಿಡವ್ಯರುಂಡಿಡ್ಡಿಲಿನೆವೆಂಲ್ಲಲುಂಡಿಂಗೆಂವಾ
ಹುಟ್ಟಾಕಾವಕಿಂಯಿಂದ ಈದಕ್ಕೆ ವಚ್ಚಿ

తేదీ 5—2—1900 కే.వి.

V

MUZRAI
1899

From

To

The Dr
Kadru Dt

Dated 16th Febry

Despatched

Received

General No. 889
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. V. Pkt

and other
the loan, ~~together the~~
~~Sattle~~ records
relating ~~to the~~ thereto
may be found in the
~~subject together~~
~~with the Jamabandi~~
~~District Court~~
~~of the Judgments~~
& Decree of the
Opened in the
~~Dt~~ Kulkarni ~~Sub~~
~~Jamabandi~~ Court
under Cover of his
Docket No. 693/99.
18th Apr 1899

His No 106 dated 9th Feb 1900 Encl. a Copy ~~a Cert~~ of the Document executed by one Krishna Bagi in respect of the loan of Rs 219-8-0. advanced by her in June 1894 ~~and~~ out of the Funds of the Inm Chennakesavaswami

2. The Ver. papers sent with his No. 100 dated 25 Jany 1900 are returned herewith viz. 4 Encl. the papers called

example at Jellambalse

Karad Taluk

Have the honor
to enquire under
what circs & on
whose orders was
the Insam fund
available at the
credit of the Temple
sent out to Krishna
Bapji &. Hodr JP
is requested ~~to that~~
~~Submitted for~~
~~of~~ the Original
Application (if any)
made by Krishna
Bapji for the grant of

FROM

To

OF THE DEWAN ... Date 2.1900 REG NO 574 MUZRAI BRANCH

Dated 7

Despatched 24th February 1900

Received

General No. 1121

No.

Enclosure No. Vernacular papers

Reporting the circumstances under which the loan was granted to Khushalbhai from the funds of the Temple & forwarding the papers connected therewith

Sanctioned 6/7/00

Vide no 1052-S dy 11.4.00

Kadur District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Kadur 24th February 1900

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 889 of the 16th February 1900 calling for the original papers relating to the loan paid to Krishna Bayi out of the funds of the Sri Chinna Keshava Swami temple at Yellambelsé in the Kadur Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith the record ending with the Amildar's report No 275 of the 23rd February 1900 submitting the original records ending with this office order No 515 of the 21st May 1894. It will be seen therefrom that Keshavaiya, Head Goomasta of the Kadur Taluk, having in January 1894 applied for a loan out of the said temple funds, the then Amildar Mr Srinivasa Rau supported the application, and the then Officer in charge of the Muzrai department in the District

(1)

viz, Mr. Vasudeva Ayyar
-Swamiengar authorized him to pay the
amount if the persons who had given it
to the temple had no objection to the
transaction. The advance was accordingly
paid and subsequently recovered. On
the strength of the same authority, a sum
of Rs 219½ was paid by the said Amildar
to Krishnabayi (now in question) from the
funds of the said temple and 100 Rs
out of the funds of the Chennakêswa
temple at Kadur on the application
made on her behalf on the 1st June 1894
by the Committee of management of
the latter institution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
M. Venkatarama
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

Order thereon

No 1054-5
Ex Dept
Dy 11-4-1900

The payment of an advance of Rs 50 to the Amildar of Kadur in the manner proposed by the Deputy Commissioner is sanctioned.

Secy.

To,
The Deputy Commr, Kadur &
orig the Enclosures.
The Comptr & Govt for
information.

~~1899~~ 1900-1

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Department



Dated 20th January 1900

Despatched 21st January 1900

Received

General No. 123
No.

Enclosure No. nil papers

Forwarding for favorable consideration and orders of Government, vernacular papers in re the loan of Rs 500/- asked for by B Gundachar, Head Accountant of the Kadur District Treasury, out of the funds of the Anjaneyaswami temple at Birur, Kadur Taluk.

Put up papers as referred to
21/1/00

no 309 of 3.9.00

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's

Office

Kadur, Chikmagalur 20th July 1900.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of

Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith for favorable consideration and orders of Government, Vernacular Correspondence ending with District Muzrai Officer's Yadi No. 148 of the 23rd June 1900 in re the loan asked for, by B Gundachar, Head Accountant of the Kadur District Treasury.

2. The applicant is a Government official, who has newly built a house in the Chikamagalur town, having received an advance of 6 month's pay from Government. He urges that the cost in completing the house has much exceeded the Government advance

and that, consequently, he had to raise elsewhere large loans at high rates of interest. He requests that a loan of Rs.500/- out of the funds at the credit of the Anjaneyaswami Temple at Birur, in the Kadur Taluk, may be given to him, on the security of his house in Chikmagalur and also the Mysore Government Life Insurance Policy for Rs.

3 It is reported that Mr. Rangaiengar, one of the Dharmadarsies of the temple, has with him Rs. 1300 as Sudavat funds of the Temple, and that he is paying interest at 12 annas per Cent per month on that amount for temple expenses. The Dharmadarsies of the temple are willing, however, to lend 500 Rs to Gavindachar, at the same rate of interest, on the distinct understanding that the applicant should pay within the 5th of every month interest due on the loan, the principal being repayable within

within 3 years. The applicant agrees to the terms of the Dharmadarsies.

4 The house belonging to the applicant has been estimated at Rs 1000/- by the Amildar and Panchayatdars. This, together with his Insurance Policy amounts to Rs 2000/- which, I think, will be a sufficient security for the loan applied for.

5. Though the granting of loans to private individuals is not authorized by Governm Order No 659 dated 5th Decemb 898, I venture to submit this Case for Special Consideration of Government.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

J. C. Cam
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

MUZRAI

189

From

To

The Dy Comr,
Kadur District

Dated 3. 9. 1900

Despatched 4. 9. 00

Received

General No. 309

Muzrai F. No. 29—1901

Enclosure No. 1 & Upk

His Letter No. 1237
20th July 1900 recommending the grant of a loan of Rs 500 to one B. Garudachar, Head Accountant in the Kadur Dt Treasury from the funds of the Sri Anjaneyaswami Temple at Birur, Kadur Taluk.

Has the honor

to invite the D.G
Comm's office to have 2
of ~~Genl Post~~ this office No. 659 D
5th Dec'r 1898 as also to send to
State that under the
principle therein laid
down the Com. ied
for by Genl r
cannot be San ied.

2. Enclosures noted herewith

P. O

Inuz Lacy

Pro No. C 35-36 of 15th March 1895 a printed copy of which is herein enclosed

READ.—

Correspondence ending with the Mysore District Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. 957—2, dated the 28th November 1894, submitting proposals for the establishment of Kandachar Subba Rao's Chattram in Hardanahalli, Chamrajnagar Taluk

AND—

The following Memo of the Muzrai Superintendent dated the 13th March 1895 —

In 1872, one Kandachar Subba Rao residing in Hardanahalli, Chamrajnagar Taluk, died leaving the following lands as endowments to a Chattram to be established in his name at Hardanahalli :—

		Area	Assessment.
i. No. 601 in Hardanahalli	Garden	K. 4—17— 6	Rs. 60—4—10.
ii. No. 1402 in Ramasandra	Wet	,, 2— 5—10	,, 23—3— 9.
iii. No. 1422 in Ramasandra	Dry	,, 0—14— 8	,, 11—0— 9.

2. At the time of the donor Subba Rao's death, land No. i. (garden) was in the possession of one Lingarajaiya, who was a joint-pattadar for the land; and it was arranged by a written Karar that Lingarajaiya should enjoy the whole of the land himself and pay one-half of the produce for the maintenance of the Chattram. The other two lands, wet and dry, were in the possession of one Honnashetti to whom the donor, Subba Rao, had previously mortgaged them, and this Honnashetti had also consented to pay one-half of the produce to the Chattram. But both Lingarajaiya and Honnashetti went on enjoying the whole produce of the lands in question themselves without paying any portion thereo[illegible]n as agreed to by them, until the year 1885, when on a petition from Venkoba[illegible]e deceased donor Subba Rao, an enquiry was set afoot by Government, a[illegible] correspondence on the subject, orders* were passed allowing Lingarajaiya to [illegible]n of the garden land on his agreeing to pay [illegible] produce (since fixed† at a permanent Gutta of Rs. 175 a year) to the Chattram, and Honnashetti was likewise confirmed in the possession of the wet and the dry lands on condition of his paying to the Chattram 23 puttis of paddy every year.

*Pro. No. 720, dated 11—7—85

†Pro. No. 3963—203, dated 20—7—90.

3. As regards the place where the Chattram is to be established, the question is still pending before Government. In 1884, the then Deputy Commissioner, the late Mr. Abdul Khader, recommended Attikulipura (9 miles from Chamrajnagar) as a fit locality for the Chattram. The recommendation was approved by Government in Proceedings No. 720, dated 11th July 1885, but no action was taken towards the construction of a Chattram building. In 1890, the Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Meiklejohn, represented‡ to Government that to locate the Chattram at Attikulipura, instead of at Hardanahalli, would be distinctly opposed to the wishes of the testator, who had left property for the construction of a Chattram in his own native village, and in a case like the one under advertance, the wishes of the testator should be given effect to if it could possibly be done. Mr. Meiklejohn accordingly recommended Hardanahalli as a fairly large village where a small Chattram might do some good, and he added that, in case the Chattram is not to be established, the money might be spent in making a good well, or tope, or on some such charitable object in the village itself, and not be taken away and spent elsewhere.

‡Letter No. 248, dated 28—5—90.

4. The Government, however, left the question undecided, and, in passing orders regarding the Gutta amounts payable on the lands endowed to the Chattram, observed§ :—

§Pro. No. 3963—203, dated 20—7—90.

"The Gutta amount so collected should be deposited in the Government Savings Bank, until such time as the accumulations that accrue are sufficient for the construction of a Chattram, either at Hardanahalli or elsewhere as may hereafter be determined."

5. The Deputy Commissioner accordingly now submits¶ to Government the District Muzrai Officer's proposals regarding the location of the Chattram.

¶Memo No. 957—2, dated 28—11—94.

6. The Chattram is, it is reported, in receipt of an annual income of Rs. 275 from the two sources specified in para 3 *supra*, and the accumulated income of past years has come up to Rs. 1,944. The District Muzrai Officer therefore proposes to start the Chattram at Hardanahalli, which, besides being the native village of the donor, is represented to be much frequented by travellers.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN
RECEIPT
Date 15 5 00
REG. No 786
MUZRAI BRANCH

1899-1900

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore Finance
Department

Dated 7th May 1900

Despatched

Received

General No. 1443
No.

Enclosure No. 4 cover papers

Soliciting sanction to the
institution of a suit for
the recovery of the amount due
by Subramanya to the
Temple of Sri Rameswara
Devaru at Devarunda in the
Mudigere Taluk

A
Reference for
Dewan's orders
M

Submitted to
the Councillor
and discussed
as

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kadur District.

Dated, Chikamagalur 7th May 1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Inam Department

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith in original a registered deed of mortgage dated 26th July 1897 purporting to have been executed by one Subramanya Bhatta for Rs 200/- in favor of the Vaidikadar and others of the temple of Sri Rameswara Swami at Dewa-Vrinda in the Mudigere Taluk.

2. The amount was lent to the above named person out of the Contribution collected for the repairs of the institution.

3. Subramanya Bhatta failed to act up to the terms of

agreement and has paid only Rs 24/— as interest up to end of June 1898 He has not taken steps to clear the principal, though he was called upon to do so many times.

4. The authority under which the amount was sent is not forthcoming from the Vernacular Correspondence.

5. I solicit sanction of Government to the institution of a suit by the Amildar of the Taluk as local Inuzrai Officer for the recovery of the amount, the necessary costs for filing the suit being met from the savings available at the credit of the temple viz- Rs 727-9-1 or out of the savings meant for the repairs of the temple.

6 The Vernacular records ending with the Inudigeri Amildar's report No 90 of the 26th April 90 are herewith sent for reference

and

and favor of return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
P. Ramaswamy
For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

MK

1899-1900

FROM The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Judicial
Department

Dated 7th May 1900

Despatched 8th

Received

General No. 1444
No.

Enclosure No. Ver. papers



Selecting sanction to the institution of a suit for the recovery of the amount due by Nunjundia to the temple of Kallanatheswara Swamy at Phalguni in the Mudigeri Talluk

A1

Refer for Government

A1

A1

-3 4/27/00/00

Dy Secretary

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Kadur District.

Dated, Chikamagalur 7th May 1900.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith the Vernacular Correspondence ending with the Mudigeri Amildar's report No 89 of the 26th April 1900 I have the honor to state as follows:—

1. Out of the Contribution amount Collected from devotees for the repair of the temple of Kalla-
-natheswara at Phalguni in the Mudigeri Taluk, a sum of Rs 500/- was lent to one Nanjundiah of Phalguni village on the security of certain im-
-moveable property The docum
-ts in favor of the said

temple.

2. The Amildar reports that the executant has failed to clear up the debt due by him though demanded several times orally and by writing.

2. In these circumstances, I solicit the sanction of Government for the institution of a suit by the Amildar as local Muzrai Officer for recovery of the amount, the necessary initial Court costs being met from the savings of Rs 254-1-7 collected from the devotees for the repair of the temple and stated to be now available

I have the honor to be.
Sir,
your most obedient servant
B Ramaswamaiyar
For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

By Secretary

1900-1901.



From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore, Judicial Department

Dated 14th August 1900

Despatched 16th

Received

General No. 267
No.

Enclosure No.

Referring reference to this office Nos 1443 and 1446 of the 7th May 1900

Disposed of
Vide Proc. No 482-3 dt
27-10-1900.

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's
Office.

Dated, Chikamagalur 14th August 1900

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Mugrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to invite —
reference to this Office Nos. 1443 and
1444 of the 7th May 1900 requesting the
sanction of Government to the institution
of certain suits for the recovery of
amounts due to the temple of Kal-
-nattesvara Swami at Phalguni
and Rameswara Devaru at Deva-
-Vrinda in the Mudigeri Taluk, and
to solicit the favor of early orders
being Communicated on the subject.
It is reported that the limitation
time of the mortgage deeds is —
approaching Completion.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient

M.R.

Read:—

Letter No 14437
7th May 1900 from
the Dy Comr. Kadur Dt.
Soliciting Sanction

to the institution of
a civil suit for the re-
covery of a loan of Rs 200
granted some Subramanya
Iria Bhatta in July 1897
by the then Amildar
of Moodbidre Chairman
Dan out of the contri-
butions levied for the
repair of the Sri Ramesh-
wara Temple at Sava-
nuida and deposited
in the Post Savings
Bank, the said Subra-
manya Bhatta having
failed to repay the loan
thereof.

Secy.

this called upon to do so.

And,

Letter No 1242 dy.
7th May 1900. from the
same Officer requesting
Sanction to the institution of a
Civil Suit for the recovery
of the loan of Rs 500. granted
by this same amildar in Augt 97
to one Ranjendrah, a Coffee planter
out of the Contributions levied
for the repairs of the Sri Kailasanathaswara
Swamie Temple at Thalguppi,
Hundgee Taluk, the said Ranjendrah
having failed to repay the loans
though called upon to do so.

Order thereon

No 682-3
Ex. 114 (2 files).

The Court regret to observe dy 27th Octr 1900.
that in granting the two
loans in question out of
temple funds, the amildars

on adequate & proper security
documents (after having
up the interest due upto
date; and if they are
willing to do so, the Dr. may
may obtain fresh deeds from them
in favor of the builder of mud fort &
for the time being as the local
Govt. officer in charge
of the Forts and have the
deeds duly registered. The result
of the action taken in this
respect should be reported at once.
for the information of Govt.

Yours
Asst

To The Dy. Com. Kaira Dr with Enclosures
The Dy. Com. Ahmedabad Dr for info.

Urgent

MUZRAI

~~189~~

From

To
The Deputy Comr
Kadur District.

Dated 9th May 1901 -

Despatched

Received

General No. 1185

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No. 482-3, dated 27th October 1900, animadverting on the conduct of ~~the~~ Amildar Narasinga Rao in granting loans to private individuals out of contributions collected for the repairs of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda, Mudigere Taluk, and requesting the Dy Comr to ~~obtain~~ submit the Amildar's explanation in the matter.

—— " ——

Has the honor to draw attention to the above and to request that the submission of the explanation called for therein may be expedited.

By order,

9/5/01

for Secretary

Dy Secretary



1900-1901

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District.

To The Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 15th May 1901

Despatched 16/5.

Received

General No. 1601
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the explanation called for in Govt Proc: No 482-3 of the 27th October 1900 has been called for.

Rec'd 17-5-01

Refers

17/5/01

Dy Secre. 17/5/01

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's
Office

Dated, Tarikere 18th May 1901

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General & Revenue Depts

Sir

With reference to your docket no 1185 of the 9th May 1901 drawing attention to Government Proceedings no 482-3 of the 27th October 1900 and requesting the submission of the explanation referred to therein, I have the honor to state that the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District has been written to for obtaining and forwarding the explanation of Mr C Narasinga Rao at present Amildar of Honnali in that District on receipt of reply, the explanation referred to in the above will be duly submitted

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

B Ramaswamy

for Deputy Commissioner

57

MUZRAI

1901

From

To The Deputy Commr.,
Kadur District.

Dated 10th July 1901.

Despatched 11th

Received

General No. 70

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No. 482-3, dated 27th October 1900, and the mar. finally noted reminder, requesting the submission of Amildar Narasinga Rao's explanation in the matter of ~~the~~ his ~~Letter's~~ having sanctioned unauthorizedly loans to private individuals out of contributions collected for the repairs of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda, Mudgere Taluk.

* No. 1185, dy 9th May 1901

—"—

Has the honor to draw attention to the above, and to request that the explanation therein called for may be obtained & submitted to this Office without further delay.

By order

9/7/01

Dy Secretary

MGCPB.—11-1-1901—1500

(General Serial No. 30.)



19 01- 1902

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, General
& Rev. Depts.

Dated 13th July 1901

Despatched

Received

General No. 72 } 190
No.

Enclosures Number.

Stating that the explanation of Mr C Narsing Rao referred to in Govt. Pro: No 482-3 of the 27th October 1900, will be submitted on receipt of the same from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District

To await further report-

Submitted Yes 22/7/01

RS

17/7/01

Kadur District Deputy
Commissioner's Office.

Dated, Chikkamagalur 13th July 1901

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Rev Depts,

Sir,

Referring to your docket No 70 of the 10th July 1901 calling for the submission of Amildar Narasinga Rao's explanation referred to in Government Proceedings No 4482-3 of the 27th October 1900 in the matter of his having unauthorized-ly granted loans to private individuals out of the Contributions collected for the repairs of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devarkinda, Mudgere Taluk, I have the honor to invite kind attention to this office letter No 1601 of the 15th May 1901 and to state that the above explanation will be submitted immediately

On receipt of the same from the Deputy Commissioner of Chaldrug, in whose District the above named Amildar is at present employed, and to whom a reminder has this day again been sent for favor of early Compliance.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
your most obedient servant

J. Ramaswamy
Vice Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

(General Serial No. 30.)

1901- 1902.

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

To The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
General & Rev: Depttm.

Dated 30th July 1901.

Despatched

Received

General No. 200 } 190
No.

Enclosures Number. V. File.

Forwarding the explanation of C. Narasinga Rao Amildar of Hiriyur Taluk in the matter of loans granted to private individuals out of the Contribution Collected for Repair of Certain Muzrai Institutions in the Mudgeri Taluk

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date 31.7.01
REG. No. 76
MUZRAI BRANCH

31/7/01

1502 d/27-7.02

Kadur District Deputy Commissioner's
Office.

Dated, Chikamagalur 30th July 1901.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To.

The Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore, General
and Revenue departments.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter
No 72 of the 13th July 1901 and with reference to
para 1 of Government Proceedings No 482-3
of the 25th October 1900 calling for the explanation
of C. Narasinga Rao (now Amildar of Hiriyur Taluk)
in the matter of loans granted to certain
private individuals out of the Contributions
collected for repair of the temples of
Phalguni Kalnatheswara and Devakrinda-
-da Rameswara in the Mudigere Taluk,
I have the honor to submit herewith
for favor of Government ~~sanction~~ orders
the above Amildar's explanation received
in this office on the 27th July 1901 with the
Chitaldrug Deputy Commissioner's
docket No 198 of the 25th idem.

As regards the amounts due by
Subramanya Bhatta and Nanjun

3)

necessary orders were issued to the Amildar of Mudigere in view to give an opportunity to them to have their respective documents renewed. The Amildar's report No. 106 of the 4th/11th May 1901 in this matter shews that the heirs of Subramanya Bhatta (since deceased) have paid Rs 100/- towards principal with full interest due on the loan amount, and that they have prayed for some time to pay up the balance of Rs 100/-. This it appears to me may be granted. The amount due from the other debtor Nunjundiah stands yet to be recovered from him on return to his native place from Mangalore whither he is said to have gone long ago.

3. The Vernacular records connected with the Case also accompany this for reference and obliging return.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District

KR

1901. 02

FROM H. H. Sparkes Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To L. Anantaswami Rao Esq BA
Deputy Secretary to Government
Mudrai Department.

Dated 30th November 01

Despatched 2nd December 01

Received

General No. 817
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Reporting that one Benjamin has executed a fresh hypothecation for the amount due by him to the temple of Kalyanasundaraswami temple at Phalguni in the Mudigere Taluk.

3.12.01.
354

Refers
Ds
3/12/01

2d/27-3-02

Kadur District Deputy
Commissioner's Office

Dated, Chikamagalur 30th Novr 01

From

W. H. Spankie Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To C. Anantaswami Rao Esq BA
Deputy Secretary to Government
Mujrai Department

Sir,

In Continuation of this office letter No 200 of the 30th July 01 forwarding the explanation of C. Narasinga Rao, Amildar, in re the loan granted to one Nunjundia and Subramanya Bhatta out of the Contribution amount Collected for repairing the temples of Sri Kalnatheswara Swami and Devalkinndada Konleswara Devaru in the Mudigeri Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith in original for perusal Mudigeri Taluk Amildar's report No 52 of the 24th November 01 stating that Nunjundia has

Muzrai

Read the following :—

(1) Letter No 1443 dy 7th May 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District soliciting sanction to the institution of a Civil Suit for the recovery of a loan of Rs 200/- granted to one Subrahmanya Bhatta in July 1897 by C. Narasimha Rao, then Amildar of Mudigere out of the contributions levied for the repair of the Sri Rameswara Temple at Devarvinda and deposited in the Govt Savings Bank, the said Subrahmanya Bhatta having failed to repay the loan though called upon to do so.

(2) Letter No. 1444 dy 7th May 1900 from the same Officer

Regarding directions
to the institution of a
Civil suit for the recovery
of the loan of Rs 800/ granted
by the Dharmadhikari in
Virgin No. 97 to one Ranjimaya,
a Coffee planter, out of
the contributions levied for
the repairs of the Sri Kallaneshwara
Swami temple at Phalguni,
Mudgere Taluk, the said
Ranjimaya having ~~also~~
failed to repay the loan
though called upon to do so.

(3) Govt Pro: No. 482-3
of 27th October 1900, requesting
the Dy Commr: to obtain
the explanation of Amildar
C. Narasinga Rao in the matter
of his having granted the two
loans in Si out of

(2)

temple fund do without
authority and observing
in regard to the amounts
due by the two individuals
named above, that before
proceeding to institute
suits for the recovery thereof,
they ~~may~~ might be given an
opportunity to renew their
respective documents on
adequate and proper
security after paying up
the interest that might
have accrued till then.

(4) Letters Nos 200 & 817
of 30th ~~of~~ July and 30th
Nov. 1901, from the
Dy Commr, Kadur District,
~~Acknowledging~~
submitting the explanation
of ~~Umiddar~~ C. Narasinga Rao
~~Chandrabagh~~ (since transferred to the
~~Uttar Pinakini~~ Kirigur Taluk
- Chitaldrug Dt), and

No further action taken
No. 1 of ~~in regard~~ to
in regard to the debts in question
~~the amount due by Subrahmanya~~
~~Thatta, and Nayundayya,~~
that the heirs of Subrahmanya
Rhatta (since deceased) have
paid Rs 100/- towards principal
with full interest due
on the loan amount, and
that they have ~~proceeded~~ prayed
for some time to pay up the
balance of Rs 100/-, and
recommending that the prayer
may be granted and stating,
in regard to the ~~applicant~~ due from Nayundayya, that that individual
~~that Nayundayya has~~
has
executed a fresh hypothecation
on the security of the
bond ~~of the~~ properties ~~which~~
previously
~~he had~~ hypothecated, ~~before~~
and
undertaking to discharge
the debt - viz Rs 800/- in full
~~in seven instalments.~~

Read also: —

Govt J : No. C. 35-96

of 15th March 1895, observing

observing that the investment of Temple and Chatram funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable

---

No. 1494-1502
mutF 29-1901
D/ 27.3.02

Enc. file -

Order thereon.

The Government are ~~satisfied~~ glad to see that the repayment of both the debts – Rs 200 and 500 respectively – has been duly arranged for. In the case of the debt due by Subrahmanya Bhatta to the Sri Rameswaraswamy temple at Devarimda one half of the principal has been paid up together with interest, and time is asked for repayment of

the balance viz, Rs 100. to be recommended to the Dy Commr further time is allowed for the repayment, but the Dy Commr is requested to see that the balance is re-paid within a reasonable time, say one year from date of last payment, so that the transaction may be finally closed at an early date

2 In the other case, the debtor, Nanjundappa has executed a fresh document on bonded security promising to repay the loan viz, Rs 800/- in seven instalments. The Deputy

Commissioner is requested to see that the metalled are Early put up on the ~~and~~ dates fixed.

~~Submit a report as soon as the Asst Commissioner in question are finally closed.~~

3. The Govt observe that the explanation submitted by the Amildar C Narasinga Rao (now in the Belur Taluk) in the matter of granting the loans in question out of Temple funds, is not very satisfactory especially seeing that he acted without authority and in contravention of the Govt order of the 15th March 1895 read above.

He says that he himself ordered the collection of the promised contributions from the devotees of the two Deities in question, that the Vakeel does there of collected them, that they probably asked him (the Amildar) for permission to lend the ~~amounts~~ moneys to private parties as the interest allowed by the Savings Bank, ~~in which the amounts had been deposited~~, was very low, and that he probably granted the permission asked for. He says further, that if the moneys had been credited to Murgai

fund do, he would not have forwarded the loan of the amounts to private parties in contravention of the existing orders ~~of Govt. Contained in Proc. No 25-26 of the 15th March 1895.~~

4 It was the duty of the Amildar, as soon as he heard of the collection of contributions, to have at once placed the amount either in the Treasury or in the Savings Bank to the credit of the temple Amildar & Narasimha Rao should be censured for his action ~~and the Govt. take note~~ this opportunity

5. Govt take this opportunity of
repeating for the information
and guidance
of all Deputy and Taluk
Officers the observation
made in the Govt Ro
of the 15th March 1895 above
referred to that " the
investment of Temple
and Chattram Funds in
loans to private individuals
is not at-all desirable".

Print 11

Dewan's Order

27/3/02

Deputy Secretary
Muzrai department

To,
The Dy Commr:
Kadur Dt. returning circles.
Dy Commr:
Hassan Dt. for info. & Commr
to Amildars & Narasimha Rao.
Dy Commrs of other districts for info.
Palace Controller Mysore. for info.

READ THE FOLLOWING :—

(1) Letter No. 1443, dated 7th May 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, soliciting sanction to the institution of a Civil suit for the recovery of a loan of Rs. 200, granted to one Subrahmanya Bhatta in July 1897 by C. Narasinga Rao, then Amildar of Mudgere, out of the contributions levied for the repairs of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda and deposited in the Government Savings Bank, the said Subrahmanya Bhatta having failed to repay the loan though called upon to do so.

(2) Letter No. 1444, dated 7th May 1900, from the same Officer requesting sanction to the institution of a Civil suit for the recovery of the loan of Rs. 500, granted by the same Amildar in August 1897, to one Nanjundaiya, a coffee planter, out of the contributions levied for the repairs of the Sri Kallanathcswaraswami temple at Phalguni, Mudgere Taluk, the said Nanjundaiya having failed to repay the loan though called upon to do so.

(3) Government Proceedings No. 482-3, dated 27th October 1900, requesting the Deputy Commissioner to obtain the explanation of Amildar C. Narasinga Rao, in the matter of his having granted the two loans in question out of temple funds without authority, and observing in regard to the amounts due by the two individuals named above, that before proceeding to institute suits for the recovery thereof, they might be given an opportunity to renew their respective documents on adequate and proper security after paying up the interest that might have accrued till then.

(4) Letters Nos. 200 and 817, dated 30th July and 30th November 1901, respectively, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting the explanation of Amildar C. Narasinga Rao (since transferred to the Hiriyur Taluk, Chitaldrug District), and reporting the further action taken by him in regard to the debts in ques[illegible].

READ ALSO—

Government Proceedings No. C. 35-6, dated 15th March 1895, observing that the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable.

No. 1494—1502, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH MARCH 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government are glad to see that the repayment of both the debts Rs. 200 and Rs. 500 respectively, has been duly arranged for. In the case of the debt due by Subrahmanya Bhatta to the Sri Rameswaraswami temple at Devavrinda, one-half of the principal has been paid up together with interest, and time is asked for repayment of the balance *viz.*, Rs. 100. As recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, further time is allowed for the repayment, but the Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that the balance is repaid within a reasonable time, say one year from date of last payment, so that the transaction may be finally closed at an early date.

2. In the other case, the debtor Nanjundaiya has executed a fresh document on landed security, promising to repay the loan *viz.*, Rs. 500, in seven instalments. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that the instalments are duly paid up on the dates fixed.

3. The Government observe that the explanation submitted by the Amildar C. Narasinga Rao (now in the Belur Taluk) in the matter of granting the loans in question out of temple funds, is not very satisfactory, especially seeing that he acted without authority and in contravention of the Government Order of the 15th March 1895, read above. He says that he himself ordered the collection of the promised contributions from the devotees of the two institutions in question, that the Vahivatdars thereof collected them, that they *probably* asked him (the Amildar) for permission to lend the moneys to private parties as the interest allowed by the Savings Bank, was very low, and that he *probably* granted the permission asked for. He says further, that if the moneys had been credited to Muzrai Funds, he would not have permitted the loan of the amounts to private parties in contravention of existing orders.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 27th March 1902.

4. It was the duty of the Amildar, as soon as he heard of the collection of contributions, to have at once placed the amount either in the Treasury or in the Savings Bank to the credit of the temple. Amildar C. Narasinga Rao should be censured for his action.

5. Government take this opportunity of repeating for the information and guidance of all District and Taluk Officers, the observation made in the Government Proceedings of the 15th March 1895, above referred to, that " the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable."

Deputy Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning enclosures.

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, for information and communication to Amildar C. Narasinga Rao.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information.

The Palace Controller, Mysore, for information.

Exd.—J.T.R.

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th March 1902

No. $\frac{1494}{1502}$

Passing orders in the matter of the recovery of the loans granted from the funds of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda and Sri Kallanatheswaraswami temple at Phalguni, Mudgere Taluk, by Amildar C. Narasinga Rao to some private individuals, and observing that the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable.

SBP—4-4-02—200

READ THE FOLLOWING :—

(1) Letter No. 1443, dated 7th May 1900, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, soliciting sanction to the institution of a Civil suit for the recovery of a loan of Rs. 200, granted to one Subrahmanya Bhatta in July 1897 by C. Narasinga Rao, then Amildar of Mudgere, out of the contributions levied for the repairs of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda and deposited in the Government Savings Bank, the said Subrahmanya Bhatta having failed to repay the loan though called upon to do so.

(2) Letter No. 1444, dated 7th May 1900, from the same Officer requesting sanction to the institution of a Civil suit for the recovery of the loan of Rs. 500, granted by the same Amildar in August 1897, to one Nanjundaiya, a coffee planter, out of the contributions levied for the repairs of the Sri Kallanatheswaraswami temple at Phalguni, Mudgere Taluk, the said Nanjundaiya having failed to repay the loan though called upon to do so.

(3) Government Proceedings No. 482-3, dated 27th October 1900, requesting the Deputy Commissioner to obtain the explanation of Amildar C. Narasinga Rao, in the matter of his having granted the two loans in question out of temple funds without authority, and observing in regard to the amounts due by the two individuals named above, that before proceeding to institute suits for the recovery thereof, they might be given an opportunity to renew their respective documents on adequate and proper security after paying up the interest that might have accrued till then.

(4) Letters Nos. 200 and 817, dated 30th July and 30th November 1901, respectively, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, submitting the explanation of Amildar C. Narasinga Rao (since transferred to the Hiriyur Taluk, Chitaldrug District), and reporting the further action taken by him in regard to the debts in question.

READ ALSO—

Government Proceedings No. C. 35-6, dated 15th March 1895, observing that the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable.

No. 1494—1502, DATED BANGALORE, 27TH MARCH 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government are glad to see that the repayment of both the debts Rs. 200 and Rs. 500 respectively, has been duly arranged for. In the case of the debt due by Subrahmanya Bhatta to the Sri Rameswaraswami temple at Devavrinda, one-half of the principal has been paid up together with interest, and time is asked for repayment of the balance *viz.*, Rs. 100. As recommended by the Deputy Commissioner, further time is allowed for the repayment, but the Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that the balance is repaid within a reasonable time, say one year from date of last payment, so that the transaction may be finally closed at an early date.

2. In the other case, the debtor Nanjundaiya has executed a fresh document on landed security, promising to repay the loan *viz.*, Rs. 500, in seven instalments. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to see that the instalments are duly paid up on the dates fixed.

3. The Government observe that the explanation submitted by the Amildar C. Narasinga Rao (now in the Belur Taluk) in the matter of granting the loans in question out of temple funds, is not very satisfactory, especially seeing that he acted without authority and in contravention of the Government Order of the 15th March 1895, read above. He says that he himself ordered the collection of the promised contributions from the devotees of the two institutions in question, that the Vahivatdars thereof collected them, that they *probably* asked him (the Amildar) for permission to lend the moneys to private parties as the interest allowed by the Savings Bank, was very low, and that he *probably* granted the permission asked for. He says further, that if the moneys had been credited to Muzrai Funds, he would not have permitted the loan of the amounts to private parties in contravention of existing orders.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore, Muzrai, dated 27th March 1902.

4. It was the duty of the Amildar, as soon as he heard of the collection of contributions, to have at once placed the amount either in the Treasury or in the Savings Bank to the credit of the temple. Amildar C Narasinga Rao should be censured for his action.

5. Government take this opportunity of repeating for the information and guidance of all District and Taluk Officers, the observation made in the Government Proceedings of the 15th March 1895, above referred to, that "the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable."

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Deputy Secy., Muzrai Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, returning enclosures.

The Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, for information and communication to Amildar C. Narasinga Rao.

The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts for information

The Palace Controller, Mysore, for information.

Exd.—J.T.R.

1901-1902

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 27th March 1902

No. 1494/1502

Passing orders in the matter of the recovery of the loans granted from the funds of the Sri Rameswara temple at Devavrinda and Sri Kallanatheswaraswami temple at Phalguni, Mudgere Taluk, by Amildar C. Narasinga Rao to some private individuals, and observing that the investment of Temple and Chattram Funds in loans to private individuals is not at all desirable.

SBP—4-4-02—200

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai, dated the 4 March 1907.

No. 4255-63, dated Bangalore, 4th March 1907.

Order.—It has been brought to the notice of Government that many of the persons who borrowed Puduvat amounts from temples have not paid the in terest due thereon or repaid the principal. The Deputy Commissioners of Districts are requested to get statements from the Amildars showing what amounts are due from each individual, up to what period the interest has been paid and whether any of the documents are time-barred.

2. In the case of the documents which are not yet time-barred but on which interest is not being regularly paid by the parties concerned, the Amildars should issue notices of demand, allowing the parties a reasonable time for payment and collect the interest or get back the principal, according to the circumstances of each case.

3. Cases in which the parties fail to pay even after the expiry of the time allowed, should be reported to Government for permission to file suits in the Civil Court against the parties concerned, stating at the same time the source from which the costs of the suit can be met.

4. If any of the documents are time-barred, the Amildars should use their best endeavours to collect the amounts due, by reasoning with the parties concerned.

5. The Amildars will be warned that they will be held personally responsible for amounts allowed by them to become barred. In this connection attention of the Deputy Commissioners is invited to Subject No. 310 brought up at the Dasara Representative Assembly of 1906, by the members Messrs. Dasappa and Chowdaiya of the Arsikere Taluk, and the reply of Government thereon and they are requested to issue the needful orders in the matter at an early date.

6. The results of the investigation made by the Deputy Commissioners should be reported to Government in detail before the end of May 1907.

7. Instances are also brought to notice that the amounts of interest realized on some of the Puduvat Funds invested in the Government Savings Bank or in Government Pro-notes, are not regularly drawn by the Amildars and remitted to the respective temples for utilization towards the stipulated sevas, etc., therein. The Deputy Commissioners should give the necessary instructions to the Amildars on this point also.

Secy. to Govt., Muz. Dept.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts with spare copies of the order for transmission to the Amildars with the necessary instructions.

The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Exd—c. v.

1906-07

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

MUZRAI

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 4th March 1907

No. 4255-63

Passing orders re the recovery of Puduvat Funds without allowing them to become time-barred and observing that the Amildars will be held responsible for the amounts allowed by them to become barred.

GPB—15-4-07—300



Rep

CH 3/5/07
W
DGH-SMB 5/6/07

190 6-07

From The Deputy Commr
Tamluk Division

To The Secy to the Govt
of Bengal Revy Deptt

Dated 28th
Despatched 29th } May 1907
Received
General No.
No. 983,
Enclosure No.

Govt Reso. No 3425-68
dated 4th March 1907.

Sanctg a Report amount
the loans advanced
to private individuals
from the temple funds
in this Division.

to the Amildars of Talukas,
directing them to give notices
to the debtors calling upon
them to pay up the arrears of
interest within three months
from the date of notice, or
in cases where it is feared
that the interest or the princi-
-pal itself is likely to be lost
to the temple to pay back the
principal within that period
From the reports received
from the Amildars of Sira
and Pavagada, it is gathered
that in the Sira Taluk, the
amount of interest accruing
from the loan amounts of
the Kind in question is being
regularly paid up by the debtors,
but that there is no such
amounts at all in the

Purapa da Taluk. Reports from other Talukis are awaited

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

M. Sreenivasulu

for Deputy Commissioner

Mar 1 A]

190

From

To The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.
29-01
Enclosure No.

His no 983 dy 28th May
1907 reporting re the loans
advanced to private indivi
duals from the temple funds
in his District.

———— n ————

With reference to the
above, has the honor to state
that the promised further
report is awaited

GPB—3,000—21-7 03

RO
13/6/07
Secretary

hl
7/6/07

V. S.

4157
P. 3. 07.

Pl
9/3/07

1906-07

From M. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

To L. Ananta Lakshmi Rao Esquire B.A.
Secretary to Government
Mysore Department

Dated 8th March 07.

Despatched

Received

General No. 802
No. 151

Enclosure No.

Acknowledges receipt of Govt. Prov. (Revenue) No. 4255-63 dated 4th instant, requests that 9 more copies may be furnished.

Sued.

Printed Prov: Sent.

This may be filed.

HSR.
18.3.07

D. [illegible]

S. [illegible]

[illegible]

21
19/3/07 Yes, Separately Sent

HSR
25.3.07

No 802
T/L.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Guntur Division.
Dated 8th March 907

From,

M Narasimha Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Guntur Division,

To,

L. Ananta Sami Rao Esquire
B.A.
Secretary to Government
Muzarai Department

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Government Proceedings (Muzarai) No 4255-63 dated 4th Instant, with 5 spare copies, re the Pedavadlapudi Amount raised by private persons, I have the honour to request that 9 more copies of the same may kindly be caused to be furnished to this office for distribution among the Amildars.

I have the honourable,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M Narasimha Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Guntur Division

Typed
25 07

Mus 1 a]

190

From

To

The Deputy Commissioners
Shimoga District

Dated

Despatched March 1907

Received 27th Compt[illegible]

General No. 7

No.

Enclosure No.

As requested in his letter No. 802/131 dated 8th March 1907, I have the honour to state that more 9 Copies of the Printed Proceedings on the recovery of Predial Amount borrowed by private persons have been forwarded under a separate Cover.

By Order

27/3/07

GPB—3,000—21-7 06

27/3/07

Secretary
Mysore Dept.